June 6, 2016

The Honorable Robert M. Califf Commissioner Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993

Dear Commissioner Califf,

The undersigned organizations would like to thank you and your colleagues for exploring opportunities to enhance the development and regulatory review of oncology products across all of the medical product Centers at the FDA. The Office of Hematology and Oncology Products has indeed been the global leader in implementing rapid reviews, providing expert advice, and implementing flexible standards as appropriate. However, the scientific progress in oncology has led to increasing involvement of different Centers that regulate products involved in treating cancer. Our hope is that the agency will take steps to improve coordination of activities and ensure that benefit-risk decisions about all cancer products are made in a uniform clinical context. We look forward to continuing to work with the FDA on areas of opportunity, and respectfully submit the ideas below for potential priorities and attributes for the Oncology Center of Excellence for your consideration.

#### **Background**

The current organizational structure of the FDA is a result of its underlying statutory authorities beginning with enactment of the 1902 when the Biologics Control Act was enacted to ensure purity of serums and vaccines for use in humans. Over time, the Agency's authorities have expanded including the 1938 Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act which established drug safety standards (and revised in 1962 to require demonstration of drug efficacy), the 1944 Public Health Services Act which provided FDA with the authority to regulate biologics, and the 1976 Medical Device amendments to the FD&C set requirements for safety and effectiveness of medical devices. This has led to regulatory activities distributed across three medical product centers based on the product type - drugs, biologics, or medical devices.

Advancements in science and an improved understanding of the molecular basis of disease has led to an evolution of medical products as accompanied by technological advances in manufacturing processes and quality assessment. Today, the treatment of many diseases involves numerous different technologies and interventions centered on providing optimal and more personalized care for each patient. This is particularly true in cancer treatment where increased understanding of the molecular underpinnings of cancer has led to development of a host of targeted new molecular entities that have proven highly effective in many cancer types, particularly when applied with a companion diagnostic test. As such, it is important that the FDA modernize its approach to product evaluation to improve coordination within and between the Centers that regulate the different types of medical products used in treating cancer. It is vital that the FDA assemble and coordinate a highly skilled workforce that is expert in cancer biology, clinical oncology, cancer genomics and immunology to enable the comprehensive and efficient review of new oncology products.

Until now, FDA has relied on an inter-center consult process to achieve coordination in medical product review. In October of 2015, an FDA internal report on the inter-center consult process was carried out by the commissioner's office and identified 4 key issues regarding FDA inter-center consults between CDER, CBER, and CDRH. These issues are:

 Different policies, practices, and application types make it difficult to develop shared understanding of priorities and timelines.

- 2) There are separate review and tracking systems between centers.
- 3) There are unclear communication channels between centers.
- 4) There is a lack of resources to review consults.

## **FDA Oncology Center of Excellence**

In order for FDA to further enhance the development and evaluation of new cancer treatments, a consolidated Oncology Center of Excellence should be formed. Such a Center could assemble a highly expert workforce in cancer biology, clinical and psycho-oncology, and foster increased intellectual collaboration and a consistent FDA approach by forming teams of FDA staff with expertise in the prevention, detection, treatment and management of cancer and its impact on patients and families. Ensuring that there is a forum for coordinating cross cutting disease-oriented activities at FDA will help consolidate core competencies to focus on scientific advancement within the agency and streamline processes for the review of oncology products.

## A Regulatory Incubator

The Oncology Center of Excellence would also allow the FDA to develop a regulatory "incubator." Oncology is a field with rapidly emerging scientific advancements as well as a greater risk tolerance due to the life-threatening nature of the diseases. This results in the need for novel approaches to address areas of high unmet medical need and creates an environment to develop and test new regulatory techniques. Through the "incubator" novel approaches could be investigated and developed, specifically:

- 1) **New Techniques in Reviews:** streamlined "one review" processes to alleviate current redundancies for interventions that involve different medical product centers, use of summary reviews for supplemental applications, use of FDA/sponsor pre-application meetings prior to initiate application review.
- 2) Advanced Regulatory Tools: new clinical trial designs, development of novel endpoints, and advanced statistical approaches.
- 3) **Elevate the Patient Perspective in Drug Development:** via comprehensive patient-centered clinical measures including the identification, development and integration of psychosocial measures, and patient treatment preferences as clinical measures to be included in core data sets
- 4) **Global Collaborations:** harmonization of similar international programs such as the Breakthrough Therapy Designation and EMA PRIME, harmonization of pediatric requirements.
- 5) **Optimal Oncology Workforce:** improve coordination between HHS agencies, establish premeetings between FDA/NCI/CMS, and develop educational fellowships.

#### **Potential Attributes of the Oncology Center of Excellence**

- Integrated clinical personnel from all centers into the existing disease specific teams to ensure
  consistency in the regulation of drugs, biologics and in vitro diagnostic devices used in cancer detection,
  prevention, treatment, symptom management or monitoring.
- 2) Consolidated review processes including review by a single clinical and single statistical team in the Oncology Center of Excellence as opposed to multiple clinical and statistical teams in separate centers.
- 3) Preliminary sign-off on clinical aspects of product applications, and consider joint final sign-off for oncology products and PMAs for cancer related in vitro diagnostic devices to ensure that novel treatment options are evaluated in the appropriate clinical context.
- 4) Primary determination of clinical requirements for Investigational Device Exemption for in vitro diagnostic devices associated with the diagnosis, treatment or monitoring of cancer.
- 5) All disciplines that contribute to the clinical assessment of the disease-specific therapeutic or in vitro diagnostic product fall under the authority of the Director of the Oncology Center of Excellence. Other

- aspects of product review not associated with benefit-risk assessments (i.e. patent issue, naming, etc.) should remain separate.
- 6) Ensure cancer specific policy decisions are harmonized with those instituted by CDER, CBER, or CDRH.
- 7) Inclusion of psychosocial/behavioral health, patient preferences/discrete choice expertise within the Oncology Center of Excellence who will be dedicated to representing the patient voice in drug/device development, approval and monitoring.

We thank you for your consideration of these ideas and look forward to working with you as this important initiative moves ahead.

# Sincerely,

Accelerate Brain Cancer Cure

American Association for Cancer Research (AACR)

American Brain Tumor Association

American Cancer Society (ACS)

American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network (ACS CAN)

American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)

Association of American Cancer Institutes (AACI)

The Bladder Cancer Advocacy Network (BCAN)

C-Change

Cancer Research Institute

**Cancer Support Community** 

Cancer Care

The Chordoma Foundation

Fight Colorectal Cancer

Friends of Cancer Research

Kids v Cancer

The Leukemia and Lymphoma Society (LLS)

**Lung Cancer Alliance** 

LUNGevity

Melanoma Research Alliance

Michael's Mission

**National Brain Tumor Society** 

National Patient Advocate Foundation

The Nicholas Conor Institute

**Oncology Nursing Society** 

Ovarian Cancer Research Fund Alliance

**Prevent Cancer Foundation** 

The Raymond Foundation